

FILED

MAR 10 2006

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

VICTOR J. BRAVO,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 05-56396

D.C. No. CV-04-02183-LAB

MEMORANDUM^{*}

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Southern District of California
Larry A. Burns, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted March 6, 2006^{**}

Before: BEEZER, T.G. NELSON, and BEA, Circuit Judges.

A review of the record and appellant's response to the court's order to show cause indicates that the questions raised in this appeal are so insubstantial as not to require further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir.

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

05-56396

1982) (per curiam) (stating standard); *Heck v. Humphrey*, 512 U.S. 477, 486–87 (1994) (holding a prisoner’s claim for damages under § 1983 is not cognizable if “a judgment in favor of plaintiff would necessarily imply the invalidity of his conviction of sentence” unless the prisoner demonstrates that the conviction has been previously reversed, expunged, of otherwise invalidated).

Accordingly, we summarily affirm the district court’s judgment.

All pending motions are denied as moot.

AFFIRMED.